

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	NO. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/068,870	0 02/11/2002		Henry J. Windle	P67635US0	1371
136	7590	7590 04/28/2005		EXAMINER	
	SON HOLN ENTH STRE	MAN PLLC	PORTNER, VIRGINIA ALLEN		
SUITE 6		22111111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHIN	NGTON, DO	DC 20004			
				DATE MAILED: 04/28/2001	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/068,870	WINDLE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ginny Portner	1645					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/1:	<u>1/2002</u> .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 67-132 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>67-132</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 February 2002</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
l _	•						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) \square Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) etter .					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 8					

Application/Control Number: 10/068,870 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 67-132 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 67,69, 71-72, 77-84, 90-101, 106, 110-117, 120-122 are, drawn to nucleic acid compositions and methods of making said compositions, classified in class 514, and subclass 44
 - II. Claims 67-68,70,73-76, 85-105, 107, 120-122, drawn polypeptide compositions and a method of making the polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclass 300.
 - III. Claim 123, drawn to a method of vaccinating against Clostridium difficile, classified in class 424, subclass 247.1.
- IV. Claims 124-126, drawn to antibodies, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions Group I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention Group I has separate utility such as in the formulation of compositions of detection of the presence or absence of genetic components from Clostridium difficle and the polypeptides can be used in methods of diagnosing infection, affinity purification of antibodies, and in methods of immunizing an animal to stimulate an immune response. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

Application/Control Number: 10/068,870

Art Unit: 1645

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, wherein the product polypeptide can be used in methods of affinity purification of antibodies and in methods of stimulating an immune response in an animal.

Page 3

- 4. Inventions I or II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, the nucleic acids of Group I, and the epitope containing polypeptides of Group II differ in structure, function and biological effects as compared to the antibodies of Group IV. While nucleic acids, epitope containing polypeptides and antibodies all bind to a ligand, the ligands to which they bind differ based upon differences in structure, function and biological effects of each molecule, as epitopes do not bind epitopes, but antibodies bind to epitopes, and the nucleic acids of the instant invention do not bind to polypeptide epitopes or antibodies.
- 5. Each group is defined by the classification system which has no statutory recognition whether inventions are independent and distinct. For example, each class and subclass is comprised of numerous completely independent and distinct inventions.

Second, MPEP 803 states that restriction is proper between patentably distinct inventions where the inventions are (1) independent or distinct as claimed and (2) a serious search and examination burden is placed on the examiner if restriction is not required.

The term distinct is defined to mean that two or more subjects as disclosed are related, for example, as product and method of use, but are capable of separate manufacture, use or sale as claimed, and are patentable over each other (see MPEP 802.1). In the instant situation, the inventions of Groups I-IV are drawn to distinct inventions which are related as separate products

Application/Control Number: 10/068,870

Art Unit: 1645

capable of separate functions. A restriction between the inventions is deemed to be proper for the reason previously set forth. In regard to burden of search and examination, MPEP 803 states that a burden can be shown if the examiner shows either separate classification, different field of search or separate status in the art.

Page 4

- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- 7. **Group I**, recites species that are patentably distinct one from the other based upon differing Nucleic acid SEQ ID Nos. SEQ ID NO 1; SEQ ID NO 2; SEQ ID NO 3; SEQ ID NO 4; SEQ ID NO 5; SEQ ID NO 6; SEQ ID NO 7; SEQ ID NO 8; SEQ ID NO 9; SEQ ID NO 10.
- 8. Interleukin 12 together with one of the SEQ ID Nos.: SEQ ID NO 1; SEQ ID NO 2; SEQ ID NO 3; SEQ ID NO 4; SEQ ID NO 5; SEQ ID NO 6; SEQ ID NO 7; SEQ ID NO 8; SEQ ID NO 9; SEQ ID NO 10.
- 9. Heat shock protein together with one of the SEQ ID Nos.: SEQ ID NO 1; SEQ ID NO 2; SEQ ID NO 3; SEQ ID NO 4; SEQ ID NO 5; SEQ ID NO 6; SEQ ID NO 7; SEQ ID NO 8; SEQ ID NO 9; SEQ ID NO 10.
- 10. Antibiotics/Bismuth salts together with one of the SEQ ID Nos.: SEQ ID NO 1; SEQ ID NO 2; SEQ ID NO 3; SEQ ID NO 4; SEQ ID NO 5; SEQ ID NO 6; SEQ ID NO 7; SEQ ID NO 8; SEQ ID NO 9; SEQ ID NO 10.
- 11. **Group II**: recites species that are patentably distinct one from the other based upon differing polypeptide SEQ ID Nos. SEQ ID NO 1; SEQ ID NO 2; SEQ ID NO 3; SEQ ID NO 4; SEQ ID NO 5; SEQ ID NO 6; SEQ ID NO 7; SEQ ID NO 8; SEQ ID NO 9; SEQ ID NO 10.

Art Unit: 1645

- 12. Interleukin 12 together with one of the SEQ ID Nos.: SEQ ID NO 1; SEQ ID NO 2; SEQ ID NO 3; SEQ ID NO 4; SEQ ID NO 5; SEQ ID NO 6; SEQ ID NO 7; SEQ ID NO 8; SEQ ID NO 9; SEQ ID NO 10.
- Heat shock protein together with one of the SEQ ID Nos.: SEQ ID NO 1; SEQ ID NO 2; SEQ ID NO 3; SEQ ID NO 4; SEQ ID NO 5; SEQ ID NO 6; SEQ ID NO 7; SEQ ID NO 8; SEQ ID NO 9; SEQ ID NO 10.
- 14. Antibiotics/Bismuth salts together with one of the SEQ ID Nos.: SEQ ID NO 1; SEQ ID NO 2; SEQ ID NO 3; SEQ ID NO 4; SEQ ID NO 5; SEQ ID NO 6; SEQ ID NO 7; SEQ ID NO 8; SEQ ID NO 9; SEQ ID NO 10.
- 15. **Group III**: recites species that are patentably distinct one from the other based upon differing polypeptide SEQ ID Nos that will induce distinct immune responses: SEQ ID NO 1; SEQ ID NO 2; SEQ ID NO 3; SEQ ID NO 4; SEQ ID NO 5; SEQ ID NO 6; SEQ ID NO 7; SEQ ID NO 8; SEQ ID NO 9; SEQ ID NO 10.
- 16. **Group IV**: recites species that are patentably distinct one from the other based upon differing antibody binding specificities, and specifically binds to one of the SEQ ID Nos. SEQ ID NO 1; SEQ ID NO 2; SEQ ID NO 3; SEQ ID NO 4; SEQ ID NO 5; SEQ ID NO 6; SEQ ID NO 7; SEQ ID NO 8; SEQ ID NO 9; SEQ ID NO 10.
- 17. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Group I and II, claims 90-91, 94,98-101 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Art Unit: 1645

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 18. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 19. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 20. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1645

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Ochiai/Brouwer Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP \ni 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See AGuidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. \ni 103(b), \cong 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP 3 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/068,870 Page 8

Art Unit: 1645

General Observations

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the **embedded hyperlink** and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01. Removal of the hyperlinks set forth in the instant Specification at page 10, line 9 and page 15, line 26 is required.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: At page 10, line 23, the Instant Application refers to **Appendices 1 to 8**. As issued US Patents do not have Appendices, Applicant is requested to is requested to define the Appendices as tables and/or figures. Any other references to Appendices found through out the Specification should also be modified accordingly.

Appropriate correction is required...

Sequence Letter

- 3. This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.821-1.825 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures.
- 4. Full compliance with the sequence rules is required in response to this office action. A complete response to this office action should include both compliance with the sequence rules and a response to the election/restriction requirement set forth below. Failure to fully comply with **both** these requirements in the time period set forth in this office action will be held non-responsive.

Please Note: At page 17, paragraph 1, three sequences that fall within the sequence rules do not evidence a sequence identifier. Insertion and/or assignment of a SEQ ID NO and amendment of this paragraph is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/068,870

Art Unit: 1645

5. Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement submitted May 9, 2002 has been received

and will be considered at the time the First Action on the Merits is generated.

21.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ginny Portner whose telephone number is (571) 272-0862. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (571) 272-0864. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vgp

April 25, 2005

LYNETTE R. F. SMITH
SUPERVISORY PATEN
TECHNOLOGY CENTER

Page 9

Sequence Letter

Application No.: 10/068,870

NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS
CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE
DISCLOSURES

The nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosure contained in this application does not comply with the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.821 - 1.825 for the following reason(s):

1. This application clearly fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825. Applicant's
attention is directed to these regulations, published at 1114 OG 29, May 15, 1990 and at 55 FR 18230, May 1, 1990.
2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence"
Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c).
3. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as
required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
4. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has been submitted. However, the
content of the computer readable form does not comply with the requirements of
37 C.F.R. 1.822
and/or 1.823, as indicated on the attached copy of the marked -up "Raw Sequence
Listing."
5. The computer readable form that has been filed with this application has been found to be damaged
and/or unreadable as indicated on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report. A Substitute
computer readable form must be submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d).
6. The paper copy of the "Sequence Listing" is not the same as the computer readable from of the
"Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
T 7 Other 4 A A A A
additional Sequences found at pa
Applicant Must Provide: 17 paragraph 1. 0
An initial or substitute computer readable form (CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listing".
An initial or substitute paper copy of the "Sequence Listing", as well as an amendment
directing its entry into the specification.
A statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and,
where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or
1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d).
For questions regarding compliance to these requirements, please contact:
For Rules Interpretation, call (703) 308-4216
For CRF Submission Help, call (703) 308-4212 Patentin Software Program Support (SIRA)
PatentIn Software Program Support (SIRA) Technical Assistance703-287-0200
To Burchago Batantin Software 703.306.0000

PLEASE RETURN A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH YOUR RESPONSE